

03-1-12187



OHIO STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY

65 S. FRONT STREET, ROOM 504
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215
TELEPHONE: 614/466-4143

THE STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY

vs

VICTOR B. CRAIG, R.Ph.

THE MATTER OF VICTOR B. CRAIG, DOCKET NO. 6-145-3, WAS HEARD PURSUANT TO CHAPTERS 119. AND 4729. OF THE REVISED CODE ON TUESDAY, APRIL 22, 1986. AFTER CONSIDERATION OF THE EVIDENCE ADDUCED, MOTIONS WERE MADE AND RECORDED THAT THE FOLLOWING ORDER BE ADOPTED:

ORDER

Findings Of Fact

- (1) From the evidence presented, the State Board of Pharmacy finds that, on or about March 28, 1985, the Florida State Board of Pharmacy placed Victor B. Craig's license on probation for two years.
- (2) From the evidence presented, the State Board of Pharmacy finds that, on or about September 17, 1984, the Florida Department of Professional Regulation charged Victor B. Craig with violating Section 465.016(1)(d)3., Florida Statutes (1983), for being unfit or incompetent to practice pharmacy by reason of the misuse or abuse of Tussionex, a prescription drug and Schedule III controlled substance.
- (3) From the evidence presented, the State Board of Pharmacy finds that Victor B. Craig admitted that he administered between four to six ounces of Tussionex daily to himself for approximately three months and that he did so without having a prescription.
- (4) From the evidence presented, the State Board of Pharmacy finds that, on or about September 17, 1984, the Florida Department of Professional Regulation charged Victor B. Craig with violating Section 465.015(2)(c), Florida Statutes (1983), which prohibits selling or dispensing drugs, as defined in Section 465.003(7), without first being furnished a prescription.

- (5) From the evidence presented, the State Board of Pharmacy finds that, on or about September 17, 1984, the Florida Department of Professional Regulation charged Victor B. Craig with making or filing reports or records which Mr. Craig knew to be false, in violation of Section 465.016(1)(j), Florida Statutes (1983), to wit: twelve (12) prescriptions for Tussionex that were made by Mr. Craig and were represented as oral prescriptions issued by medical practitioners.

Conclusions Of Law

- (1) Upon consideration of the record as a whole, the State Board of Pharmacy concludes that the conduct set forth in paragraphs (3) and (5) of the Findings Of Fact constitutes gross immorality.
- (2) Upon consideration of the record as a whole, the State Board of Pharmacy concludes that the conduct set forth in paragraphs (3) and (5) of the Findings Of Fact constitutes dishonesty and unprofessional conduct in the practice of pharmacy.
- (3) Upon consideration of the record as a whole, the State Board of Pharmacy concludes that the conduct set forth in paragraphs (3) and (5) of the Findings Of Fact constitutes being addicted to or abusing drugs to such a degree as to render Mr. Craig unfit to practice pharmacy.
- (4) Upon consideration of the record as a whole, the State Board of Pharmacy concludes that the conduct set forth in paragraphs (3) and (5) of the Findings Of Fact constitutes willful violations of the provisions of Chapters 2925., 3715., 3719., and 4729. of the Revised Code.

Pursuant to Section 4729.16 of the Ohio Revised Code, and the foregoing Findings Of Fact and Conclusions Of Law, the State Board of Pharmacy hereby places on probation the pharmacist identification card held by Victor B. Craig for 11 months, to run concurrent with Florida's period of probation.

THIS ORDER WAS APPROVED BY A VOTE OF THE STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY.

MOTION CARRIED.

SO ORDERED.

It is hereby certified by this Board that the above language is a copy of the Order entered upon its journal in this case.

VICTOR B. CRAIG, R.Ph.
Page Three
Order of the Board

Section 119.12 of the Revised Code authorizes an appeal from this Order. Such an appeal may be taken to the court of common pleas in the county in which your place of business is located or to said court of the county in which you reside. If you do not have a place of business in Ohio and are not a resident of Ohio, you may appeal to the Court of Common Pleas in Franklin County, Ohio.

Such an appeal, setting forth the order appealed from and the grounds of the appeal, must be commenced by the filing of a Notice of Appeal with the State Board of Pharmacy and the appropriate court within fifteen (15) days after the mailing of this notice and in accordance with the requirements of Section 119.12 of the Revised Code.

BY ORDER OF THE STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY

CERTIFIED MAIL
Return Receipt

ORDER ISSUED: June 9, 1986

FZW/sb

By

Franklin Z. Wickham
Franklin Z. Wickham, Executive Director

cc: John E. Breen, Assistant Attorney General